# **Headache Medicine**

DOI: 10.48208/HeadacheMed.2025.16



Original

# Medication overuse headache: a pragmatic 5-year, real-world study

Abouch Krymchantowski<sup>1</sup>, Carla Jevoux<sup>1</sup>, Ana Gabriela Krymchantowski<sup>1</sup>, Rogelio Dominguez Moreno<sup>2,3</sup>, Raimundo Pereira Silva-Néto<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Headache Center of Rio, Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil <sup>2</sup>Danish Headache Center, Copenhagen University Hospital – Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark <sup>4</sup>Federal University of the Delta do Parnaíba, Parnaíba, Piauí, Brazil

 $\bowtie$ 

Abouch V Krymchantowski abouchkrym@uol.com.br

### Background

Medication overuse headache (MOH) impacts 1-7% worldwide. Effective treatment involves the abrupt discontinuation of the overused medication, the implementation of transition therapy during the initial period, and the simultaneous commencement of preventive treatment.

### Objective

To describe a 5-year follow-up of patients with chronic migraine and MOH, focusing on the effectiveness of withdrawal treatment, use of traditional preventive medication, and requirement of anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies.

### Method

A single-center, prospective, and descriptive study was conducted. Convenience sampling of consecutive patients diagnosed with chronic migraine and MOH was the inclusion criterion. Demographics and clinical data at baseline, at 12 months, and during a follow-up period of 5 years, were collected in clinical records. The statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS®) version 18.2.2.

### Results

We were able to follow one hundred and forty-two patients (116 W, 26 M), ages 18-78 years (mean 42.1±14.3) for 5 years. The diagnosis was carried out  $24.9\pm14.7$  years after the onset of the headache, and  $6.3\pm7.6$  years, was the time with headache  $\geq$ 15 days per month. On baseline, the average number of headache days per month (HDM) was  $25.2\pm5.9$ . There was a meaningful reduction in HDM. At 1 year and 5 years,  $a \geq 75\%$  reduction in HDM was observed, respectively, in 51.4% and 70.4% of the sample.

#### Conclusions

After five years, patients with chronic migraine and MOH who withdrew from excessive medication, used preventive pharmacological agents, and optionally added anti-CGRP monoclonal antibody showed a significant decrease in HDM frequency.

#### Keywords:

Chronic migraine Medication-overuse headache Preventive treatment Monoclonal antibody



## Introduction

Medication-overuse headache (MOH) is a prevalent, debilitating condition in neurological practice. It affects 1% to 7% of the global population and impacts over 60 million individuals (1–4). The burden of MOH is significant, impairing quality of life and work productivity. Frequently, there are comorbid sleep and psychiatric disturbances, further exacerbating the suffering and the healthcare costs (1,2,5).

MOH arises from excessive medications used for the acute treatment, often seen in patients with preexisting migraine or tension-type headache (4,6). Different overuse patterns and pathophysiological mechanisms underlying MOH initiation and progression remain uncertain (7–11). Despite these uncertainties, it is widely recognized that MOH primarily affects individuals with primary headache disorders (12–17).

It is a clinical challenge to treat patients with MOH. There is no consensus on the best approach, particularly across different geographic realities (18–26).

Effective treatment strategies are limited by patients' adherence issues and varying responses, reinforcing the need for a comprehensive and individualized approach (4,5,19). Currently, the complete and sudden withdrawal of overused medications is considered a crucial measure for the management of MOH, despite recent challenges (23,24). In addition, the close follow-up with multidisciplinary support may reduce headache frequency without necessarily starting preventive medications. However, bridge therapies may be needed in a subset of patients with specific patterns of drug overuse (4,9,25,27,28).

The initiation of preventive pharmacological agents or biological therapies following the withdrawal process remains controversial. Some evidence supports their use to improve outcomes, including anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies (22,27–29). However, long-term headache frequency reduction and the risk of relapse into medication overuse are not well understood. Combining medication withdrawal with preventive treatment appears to be the most effective strategy (4,9,25,26,30,31).

This study aimed to discuss these knowledge gaps by following consecutive patients with chronic migraine and MOH over five years. We observed the effectiveness of a comprehensive treatment approach involving medication withdrawal, traditional preventive agents, and the addition of anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies. This long-term study at a Brazilian tertiary headache center offers essential insights into effective MOH management strategies.

## Patients and methods

Study Design and Patients.

It was an observational, prospective, uncontrolled, and descriptive study that involved a non-random sampling of consecutive patients diagnosed with chronic migraine and medication overuse headaches, treated at a single tertiary clinic over five years. Data collection for this study spanned from August 2018 to July 2019.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria.

Patients aged 18+ with chronic migraine (15 headache days/month,  $\geq$ 8 with typical migraine features) and medication overuse headaches (15 headache days/month, 10-15 days of medication use for  $\geq$ 3 months), as per ICHD-3 criteria (6), seen consecutively during the period comprising July 2018 to July 2019 were included. The trial excluded patients who used botulinum toxin within the previous six months, had used traditional pharmacological agents for the prevention of migraine within the previous three months, or had detectable psychiatric comorbidities other than anxiety or not medicated depression during the initial consultation. Additionally, pregnant women or those not using effective contraceptive methods, as well as those planning to start a pregnancy within the next 12 months, were also excluded.

Data collection.

All included patients were invited to participate in the study by signing the informed consent. They were diagnosed with chronic migraine and medication overuse headaches based on the frequency of headache attacks over the past three months.

During initial long-lasting consultations, the patients were clearly and emphatically oriented, either with verbal and written instructions, to withdraw the excessive use of medications for headache attacks. However, they received the prescription of either rizatriptan or zolmitriptan, associated with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, to be used as needed up to two days a week. They were also prescribed a migraine prophylactic drug, either in monotherapy or in combination with other pharmacological agents. The entire patient population received a headache diary to be filled and presented in the follow-up visits, which had its intervals programmed, explained, and enforced. The choice of preventive medication was based on the history of previous use and failure of various medications, the possible existence of comorbidities, and the clinical experience of the attending physician.



Those patients who overused simple analgesics or analgesics combined with caffeine, ergots, or even both, had to withdraw, received the prescription of indomethacin for the initial 5 days (50 mg twice a day), and, from the 6th day onwards, were started on preventive treatment. They were allowed to take either rizatriptan or zolmitriptan plus a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) on a maximum frequency of two days per week, clearly informed.

Those patients overusing triptans also had to withdraw, received the prescription of prednisone during the initial 6 days (60 mg/day for 2 days, 40 mg/day for 2 days, and 20 mg/day for 2 more days), and, from the 7th day onwards, started preventive treatment. These patients were also prescribed a triptan (rizatriptan or zolmitriptan) plus NSAID on a maximum frequency of twice a week, regardless of the previous overuse of a triptan. Collectively, studied patients withdrew; either received bridge therapy of indomethacin for 5 days or prednisone for 6 days, depending on the previously used symptomatic medications, and started preventive treatments from the 6th or the 7th day onwards. During follow-up, from the 12th to the 24th month, those patients who did not experience  $a \ge 75\%$  reduction in headache frequency, had the additional prescription of a monoclonal antibody (mAb) to the oral treatment.

Follow-up visits were performed by the same attending physician every two months during the first four months; every 4 months during the following 12 months, and every six months during the following 44 months or 3.6 years. The follow-up visits were emphatically requested and reminded to every patient to maintain adherence. The results were evaluated using headache diaries and patient information.

### Statistical analysis.

All collected data were organized in a database. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS®) version 18.2.2 for statistical analysis was used. The quantitative variables were expressed as mean, standard deviation, and minimum and maximum values, while qualitative variables were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies.

### Results

Two hundred and forty-three consecutive chronic migraine and MOH patients were evaluated and diagnosed for

the first time during the studied period. Among them, 200 patients (161 women and 39 men) met the inclusion criteria and were included in the study. From the first follow-up visit two months onwards, 29% (58/200) of patients did not return for subsequent consultations and were lost to follow-up. They were excluded from the trial. Therefore, the study sample consisted of 142 patients, with 81.7% (116/142) women and 18.3% (26/142) men. The average age at the time of inclusion was  $42.1\pm14.3$  years (18-84 years). The time with headache on 15 or more days per month was  $6.3\pm7.6$  years (1-40 years), and the diagnosis of chronic migraine and MOH was performed 24.9±14.7 years (mean 2-61 years) after the onset of the headache (Table 1).

Table 1. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of 142 patients with chronic migraine and medication overuse headache

Variables	Frequency
Sex	
Female (n; %)	116 (81.7)
Male (n; %)	26 (18.3)
Age at diagnosis (years)	
Average (SD)	42.1±14.3
Variation	18-84
Time with headache (years)	
Average (SD)	24.9±14.7
Variation	2-61
Time with headache >15 days/months (years)	
Average (SD)	6.3±7.6
Variation	1-40

For the entire study sample, the average number of headache days per month at the time of inclusion was  $25.2\pm5.9$  (16-30 days). The patients were followed for 60 months. There was a reduction in the number of headache days per month, especially during the first year. At 12 months, the average headache frequency decreased to  $7.6\pm6.1$  days per month, representing a  $\geq 75\%$  reduction, which was observed in 51.4% (73/142) of the patients. At 60 months, the end of follow-up, the headache frequency was  $5.7\pm4.1$  days per month, meaning a reduction of  $\geq 75\%$  in 70.4% of the subjects (100/142). The average number of headache days during the entire follow-up period, by percentage, is shown in Tables 2, 3, and 4.



Table 2. N	lum	ber o	f headac	he at	tacks per	month d	uring
follow-up	of	142	patients	with	chronic	migraine	and
medication	n ov	ruse	headach	ne			

Assessment periods (months)	Frequency (average; SD; variation)
Baseline	25.2±5.9 (16-30)
at 2	12.0±7.9 (1-30)
at 4	8.2±6.1 (1-30)
at 8	7.1±5.1 (1-29)
at 12	7.6±6.1 (0-30)
at 18	6.7±4.8 (1-30)
at 24	6.7±4.9 (1-30)
at 30	6.3±4.4 (1-29)
at 36	6.4±4.3 (1-30)
at 42	6.4±4.5 (1-30)
at 48	6.4±5.0 (0-30)
at 54	6.4±3.9 (2-30)
at 60	5.7±4.1 (1-30)

Note: SD - standard deviation

Table 3. Reduction in the average monthly headache days in the 12th and 60th months of treatment in 142 patients with chronic migraine and medication

Improvement	At 12	months	At 60	months
%	n	%	n	%
<50%	18	12.7	6	4.2
50% to 74%	51	35.9	36	25.3
≥75%	73	51.4	100	70.4

Table 4. Preventive treatment used in 142 patients with chronic migraine and medication overuse headache

Drugs	Frequency (n; %)
Oral medications (n=142) Amitriptyline and atenolol	4 (2.8)
Divalproex sodium	5 (3.5)
Divalproex sodium and tizanidine	8 (5.6)
Topiramate and nortriptyline	21 (14.8)
Nortriptyline and flunarizine	38 (26.8)
Nortriptyline, flunarizine and tizanidine	66 (46.5)
Monoclonal antibodies (n=57/142; 40.1%)	
Erenumab (Pasurta®)	8 (14.0)
Galcanezumab (Emgality®)	31 (54.4)
Fremanezumab (Ajovy®)	18 (31.6)

All patients were initially treated with oral preventive agents, generally as a combination of one or two drugs. The drugs used, in order of frequency, were amitriptyline and atenolol (2.8%), divalproex sodium (3.5%), divalproex sodium and tizanidine (5.6%), topiramate and nortriptyline

(14.8%), nortriptyline and flunarizine (26.8%), and nortriptyline, flunarizine and tizanidine (46.5%). Of the 142 patients treated with oral medications, 57 (40.1%) have added an anti-CGRP monoclonal antibody, which was started at some time during their treatment, no less than two years after the initial treatment phase, as shown in Table 3. The specific combinations of nortriptyline and flunarizine, or nortriptyline, flunarizine, and tizanidine, were compounded in a single capsule to be taken daily, during dinner time, which reduces the cost of the medication in addition to individualizing the treatment. To prevent tolerability issues and maintain adherence, the maximum daily dose of flunarizine was 2 mg/day, amitriptyline and nortriptyline were 25 mg/day, tizanidine was 5 mg/day, sodium divalproate was 500 mg/day, and topiramate was 100 mg/day. It was based on the treating physician's clinical experience acquired with the use of these substances across decades. Adding an anti-CGRP monoclonal antibody was decided for those patients who didn't achieve a desired headache frequency reduction, or who requested the emerging therapy.

### Discussion

The treatment approaches of MOH vary widely, influenced by different geographic realities and health care structures (19,22,30). This study followed chronic migraine and MOH patients over the long term at a specialized center in Brazil. While baseline headache frequency and symptomatic medication use were self-reported, which may introduce some bias, the results provide valuable insights into the management of MOH in this setting.

The significant reduction in headache frequency over five years is a positive finding, likely reflecting a comprehensive treatment approach that included long-lasting initial consultations, emphasis on stopping overused medications, and adherence to treatment strategies. Our study was comparable to the other two studies with similar outpatient approaches, including a detoxification program, which resulted in a two-thirds reduction in medication overuse within six months or a 50% reduction in headache frequency in nearly half the patients after 12 months (26,31,32). Additionally, we observed a similar reduction at two months, but a greater decrease in headache frequency at 12 months and beyond, with a >75% reduction in nearly 52% of patients at 12 months and even higher at 60 months.

Despite the withdrawal of overused medication being the primary treatment for MOH, the best strategies also include patient education, starting preventive treatments for the underlying primary headaches, and psychological support. An initial bridge therapy may help reduce headache escalation and adherence issues with the sudden interruption of symptomatic medications



in outpatient settings, along with immediate preventive treatment, which demonstrates superiority (9,22,25–27,31,33,33–35). However, inpatient strategies may be necessary for overuse involving certain drugs like opioids, barbiturates, or benzodiazepines, which was not the case in our series (9).

An obstacle to adherence is the relapse post-withdrawal (8,18,22,29,32). Although it may occur frequently, few studies evaluate long-term outcomes and realworld relapse rates, highlighting the usefulness of this trial despite striking differences in healthcare settings (22,27,36,37). While public health services in Brazil rarely have the infrastructure for long-term patient followup, the few high-standard private centers offer updated treatments, but may face adherence issues due to cost limitations. This study's strength lies in its complete data over time, showing that withdrawal, support, and effective prevention significantly reduce headache frequency, especially in the first four and twelve months (22,38,39).

However, the limitations of the study are acknowledged. There is a potential bias with the studied population, which included mostly highly motivated subjects treated at a specialized center. Moreover, we did not determine specific headache characteristics such as severity scores, pain intensity, and rates of cutaneous allodynia. Psychiatric comorbidities and substance use disorders were not scrutinized as well, which might have affected treatment responses and treatment adherence over time. In addition, comparing outcomes between patients overusing different pharmacological classes should have been interesting, but it was not performed, although benzodiazepine use was low, and none used opioids or barbiturates.

Regarding anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), 40% of the studied patients added mAbs to their regimen after a few years of stable treatment. This study did not evaluate the performance of the monoclonal antibodies compared to the traditional agents. However, initiating prevention with both traditional agents and mAbs led to a higher decrease in headache frequency, regardless of the mAb used.

In conclusion, while evidence on the best MOH treatment strategy is limited, the discontinuation of overused symptomatic treatment alongside the early starting of prevention yields more favorable outcomes than withdrawal alone. Although combining medications with mAbs may be superior to monotherapy, more randomized controlled trials are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of various preventive regimens.

This prospective study of MOH patients at a Brazilian tertiary headache center enrolled 200 patients in a comprehensive treatment program. The program included the education of the subjects with clear orientation, the sudden overused medication interruption, the use of bridge medications for withdrawal, and the starting of the preventive treatments, with the addition of anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies after two years to improve adherence. The study demonstrated positive outcomes regarding patient adherence and headache reduction, underscoring the effectiveness of a structured and supportive treatment approach. However, the specific impact of adding mAbs remains inconclusive and warrants further investigation.

## **Ethics statement**

This study was approved by the Ethics in Research Involving Human Subjects Committee at the Federal University of Piauí, protocol number 3,305,167 and the National Ethics in Research System, registry number 08850918.0.0000.5214, on May 6, 2019.

### References

- Bendtsen L, Munksgaard S, Tassorelli C, Nappi G, Katsarava Z, Lainez M, et al. Disability, anxiety and depression associated with medicationoveruse headache can be considerably reduced by detoxification and prophylactic treatment. Results from a multicentre, multinational study (COMOESTAS project). Cephalalgia 2014;34:426– 33. Doi:10.1177/0333102413515338.
- Vos T, Allen C, Arora M, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Brown A, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The Lancet 2016;388:1545–602. Doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(16)31678-6.
- Westergaard ML, Glümer C, Hansen EH, Jensen RH. Prevalence of chronic headache with and without medication overuse: Associations with socioeconomic position and physical and mental health status. Pain 2014;155:2005–13. Doi:10.1016/j. pain.2014.07.002.
- Krymchantowski A V., Jevoux CC, Krymchantowski AG, Vivas RS, Silva-Néto R. Medication overuse headache: an overview of clinical aspects, mechanisms, and treatments. Expert Rev Neurother 2020;20:591–600. Doi:10.1080/14737175.2020.1770084.
- Dodick D, Silberstein S. How Clinicians can Detect, Prevent and Treat Medication Overuse Headache. Cephalalgia 2008;28:1207–17. Doi:10.1111/ j.1468-2982.2008.01737.x.
- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia 2018;38:1–211. Doi:10.1177/0333102417738202.
- 7. Schwedt TJ, Chong CD. Medication Overuse Headache: Pathophysiological Insights from Structural and Functional Brain <scp>MRI</scp> Research.

Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2017;57:1173–8. Doi:10.1111/head.13037.

- May A, Schulte LH. Chronic migraine: risk factors, mechanisms and treatment. Nat Rev Neurol 2016;12:455–64. Doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2016.93.
- Ashina S, Terwindt GM, Steiner TJ, Lee MJ, Porreca F, Tassorelli C, et al. Medication overuse headache. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2023;9:5. Doi:10.1038/s41572-022-00415-0.
- Mehta D, de Boer I, Sutherland HG, Pijpers JA, Bron C, Bainomugisa C, et al. Alterations in DNA methylation associate with reduced migraine and headache days after medication withdrawal treatment in chronic migraine patients: a longitudinal study. Clin Epigenetics 2023;15:190. Doi:10.1186/s13148-023-01604-8.
- Ljubisavljevic S, Ljubisavljevic M, Damjanovic R, Kalinic S. A Descriptive Review of Medication-Overuse Headache: From Pathophysiology to the Comorbidities. Brain Sci 2023;13:1408. Doi:10.3390/ brainsci13101408.
- 12. Tepper SJ. Medication-Overuse Headache. CONTINUUM: Lifelong Learning in Neurology 2012;18:807–22. Doi:10.1212/01. CON.0000418644.32032.7b.
- Katsarava Z, Fritsche G, Muessig M, Diener HC, Limmroth V. Clinical features of withdrawal headache following overuse of triptans and other headache drugs. Neurology 2001;57:1694–8. Doi:10.1212/ WNL.57.9.1694.
- Limmroth V, Katsarava Z, Fritsche G, Przywara S, Diener H-C. Features of medication overuse headache following overuse of different acute headache drugs. Neurology 2002;59:1011–4. Doi:10.1212/ WNL.59.7.1011.
- Bahra A, Walsh M, Menon S, Goadsby PJ. Does Chronic Daily Headache Arise De Novo in Association With Regular Use of Analgesics? Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2003;43:179–90. Doi:10.1046/j.1526-4610.2003.03041.x.
- Viana M, De Icco R, Allena M, Sances G, Højland JR, Katsarava Z, et al. Clinical Subtypes of Medication Overuse Headache – Findings From a Large Cohort. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2019;59:1481–91. Doi:10.1111/head.13641.
- Jay GW, Barkin RL. Primary Headache Disorders- Part
  2: Tension-type headache and medication overuse headache. Disease-a-Month 2017;63:342–67. Doi:10.1016/j.disamonth.2017.05.001.
- Carlsen LN, Munksgaard SB, Jensen RH, Bendtsen L. Complete detoxification is the most effective treatment of medication-overuse headache: A randomized controlled open-label trial. Cephalalgia 2018;38:225– 36. Doi:10.1177/0333102417737779.
- Krymchantowski A, Jevoux C, Krymchantowski AG, Ramos LB, Barbosa JSS, Silva-Neto RP. Medicationoveruse headache—a review of different treatment strategies. Frontiers in Pain Research 2023;4. Doi:10.3389/fpain.2023.1103497.

- Chiang C-C, Schwedt TJ, Wang S-J, Dodick DW. Treatment of medication-overuse headache: A systematic review. Cephalalgia 2016;36:371–86. Doi:10.1177/0333102415593088.
- Krymchantowski A V., Jevoux C da C. Medicationoveruse headache. Despite the advances in understanding it, treatment evidence still lacks. Expert Rev Neurother 2017;17:1055–8. Doi:10.1080/1473 7175.2017.1374173.
- Krymchantowski A V., Tepper SJ, Jevoux C, Valença M. Medication-Overuse Headache: Protocols and Outcomes in 149 Consecutive Patients in a Tertiary Brazilian Headache Center. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2017;57:87–96. Doi:10.1111/ head.12970.
- Scher AI, Bendtsen L. Patient-Centered Treatment of Chronic Migraine With Medication Overuse. Neurology 2022;98:563–4. Doi:10.1212/ WNL.000000000200252.
- Schwedt TJ, Hentz JG, Sahai-Srivastava S, Murinova N, Spare NM, Treppendahl C, et al. Patient-Centered Treatment of Chronic Migraine With Medication Overuse. Neurology 2022;98. Doi:10.1212/ WNL.000000000200117.
- Carlsen LN, Munksgaard SB, Nielsen M, Engelstoft IMS, Westergaard ML, Bendtsen L, et al. Comparison of 3 Treatment Strategies for Medication Overuse Headache. JAMA Neurol 2020;77:1069. Doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1179.
- Tassorelli C, Jensen R, Allena M, De Icco R, Sances G, Katsarava Z, et al. A consensus protocol for the management of medicationoveruse headache: Evaluation in a multicentric, multinational study. Cephalalgia 2014;34:645–55. Doi:10.1177/0333102414521508.
- Krymchantowski A, Moreira P. Out-Patient Detoxification in Chronic Migraine: Comparison of Strategies. Cephalalgia 2003;23:982–93. Doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.2003.00648.x.
- Grazzi L, Sansone E, Raggi A, D'Amico D, De Giorgio A, Leonardi M, et al. Mindfulness and pharmacological prophylaxis after withdrawal from medication overuse in patients with Chronic Migraine: an effectiveness trial with a one-year follow-up. J Headache Pain 2017;18:15. Doi:10.1186/s10194-017-0728-z.
- Caronna E, Gallardo VJ, Alpuente A, Torres-Ferrus M, Pozo-Rosich P. Anti-CGRP monoclonal antibodies in chronic migraine with medication overuse: real-life effectiveness and predictors of response at 6 months. J Headache Pain 2021;22:120. Doi:10.1186/s10194-021-01328-1.
- Diener H-C. Treating medication overuse headache: More than improving headache. Cephalalgia 2014;34:405–6. Doi:10.1177/0333102413515350.
- Munksgaard SB, Bendtsen L, Jensen RH. Treatment-Resistant Medication Overuse Headache Can Be Cured. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2012;52:1120–9. Doi:10.1111/j.1526-





4610.2012.02191.x.

- 32. Munksgaard SB, Bendtsen L, Jensen RH. Detoxification of medication-overuse headache by a multidisciplinary treatment programme is highly effective: A comparison of two consecutive treatment methods in an open-label design. Cephalalgia 2012;32:834–44. Doi:10.1177/0333102412451363.
- Diener H-C. How to treat medication overuse headache. Neurology 2007;69:14–5. Doi:10.1212/01. wnl.0000269324.27980.a9.
- Munksgaard SB, Madsen SK, Wienecke T. Treatment of medication overuse headache—A review. Acta Neurol Scand 2019;139:405–14. Doi:10.1111/ane.13074.
- 35. Hagen K, Stovner LJ. A randomized controlled trial on medication-overuse headache: outcome after 1 and 4 years. Acta Neurol Scand 2011;124:38–43. Doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.01542.x.

Abouch Krymchantowski

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8164-3507 Carla Jevoux https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4344-1028 Ana Gabriela Krymchantowski https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8453-2068 Rogelio Dominguez Moreno https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2788-8743 Raimundo Pereira Silva-Néto https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2343-9679

- Hagen K, Jensen R, Bøe MG, Stovner LJ. Medication overuse headache: a critical review of end points in recent follow-up studies. J Headache Pain 2010;11:373–7. Doi:10.1007/s10194-010-0221-4.
- Bøe MG, Thortveit E, Vatne A, Mygland Å. Chronic headachewithmedicationoveruse:Long-termprognosis after withdrawal therapy. Cephalalgia 2017;37:1215– 21. Doi:10.1177/0333102416672493.
- Krymchantowski AV, Jevoux C da C. The Pharmacological Treatment of Migraine in <scp>B</ scp> razil. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2015;55:51–8. Doi:10.1111/head.12513.
- Krymchantowski A, Jevoux C, Krymchantowski AG, Silva-Néto RP. Medication Overuse Headache, Chronic Migraine and Monoclonal Antibodies Anti-CGRP: A Real-World Study. Clin Neuropharmacol 2023;46:181– 5. Doi:10.1097/WNF.00000000000559.

**Authors contribution**: AK, RPSN, conception and design; AK, CJ, RPSN, acquisition of data; AK, CJ, RPSN, AGK, RDM, Analysis and interpretation of data; AK, CJ, RPSN, and AGK, drafting the manuscript; AK, RPSN, RDM, revising it for intellectual content; AK, CJ, RPSN, AGK, RDM, final approval of the completed manuscript.

**Conflict of interest**: The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**Funding**: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.