Headache Medicine

DOI: 10.48208/HeadacheMed.2025.9



Review

Low dose galcanezumab for treating trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias: clinical observation from Colombia and Mexico on behalf of ASOLAC

Joe Muñoz-Cerón^{1,2}, Ildefonso Rodríguez³, Carolina Guerra⁴, Karina Vélez⁵, Natalia Hernández⁶, Paula Nieto-Rodríguez², Daniela Gómez Barrera²

¹Colombia Keralty University Clinic, Bogota, Colombia
²Mayor MEDERI University Hospital, Rosario University, Bogota, Colombia
³Ignacio Morones Prieto Central Hospital, Autonomous University, San Luis Potosí, Mexico
⁴SOMA Clinic, Medellín, Colombia
⁵Angeles Lomas Hospital, Mexico City, Mexico
⁶Neuromedical, EPS SURA, Medellín, Colombia

 \boxtimes

Joe Muñoz-Cerón ioemunoz@keraltv.co

Edited by: Marcelo Moraes Valença

Keywords:

Cluster headache Hemicrania continua SUNCT SUNA CGRP Anti-Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide Receptor

Background

Although evidence exists regarding the efficacy of galcanezumab in treating cluster headaches and other trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias (TACs), data on its effectiveness at lower doses are still lacking.

Objective

To report the clinical outcomes of Latin American patients treated with galcanezumab (GNZ) at a dose approved for migraine.

Methods

This case series included patients with cluster headaches, hemicrania continua, and short-lasting unilateral neuralgiform headache attacks with conjunctival injection and tearing (SUNCT-SUNA) who were treated with a 240 mg loading dose of GNZ followed by 120 mg monthly.

Results

A total of 18 subjects were included: 12 with cluster headaches, 4 with hemicrania continua, and 2 with SUNCT-SUNA who received 1 to 24 monthly cycles of GNZ. Among those with episodic cluster headaches, 7 of 8 patients experienced a reduction in attack frequency of more than 50%. In the chronic cluster headache group, this reduction was achieved in 2 of 4 patients. Additionally, 2 of the 4 patients with hemicrania continua became headache-free. In the SUNCT-SUNA group, 2 were included, both reduced their daily attack frequency from 120 to 30 and from 10 to 2, respectively.

Conclusions

These clinical observations suggest that lower doses of GNZ may be a viable option for treating Latin American patients with TACs.

Submitted: February 13, 2025 Accepted: March 21, 2025 Publish online: March 30, 2025



Low dose galcanezumab for treating trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias: clinical observation from Colombia and Mexico on behalf of ASOLAC



Introduction

luster headache (CH), hemicrania continua (HC), paroxysmal hemicrania (PH), and short-lasting unilateral neuralgiform headache attacks (SUNCT-SUNA) are rare conditions categorized as trigeminal autonomic cephalalaias (TACs) and classified under the third aroup of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-3) (1). A shared characteristic of these conditions is the lack of specific and highly effective treatments, leading to the exploration of therapeutic strategies derived from primary headache treatments (2,3). Galcanezumab (GNZ), a humanized monoclonal antibody recently approved in our region for the preventive treatment of episodic and chronic migraine, has also demonstrated efficacy at a dose of 300 mg monthly for CH patients, moreover, reports suggest favorable outcomes for HC patients treated with GNZ (4,5). Another case reported the efficacy of erenumab in a patient with refractory SUNCT (6).

Given the need for alternative treatments for patients who have failed other therapies and the unavailability of GNZ at 300 mg in Colombia and Mexico, we find it relevant to share our clinical experience with TACs patients treated with GNZ at a dose approved for migraine.

Methods

Data were collected from the medical records of patients treated at five headache centers in Colombia and Mexico

between June 2023 and February 2024. All patients were diagnosed based on ICHD-3 criteria (1). Patients received a 240 mg subcutaneous loading dose of GNZ, followed by 120 mg monthly. Patients with episodic CH were included only if treatment was initiated in the first two weeks of the cluster bout. Demographic data and pre- and post-treatment clinical information, including headache frequency, HIT-6 score variation, global self-perception, and major side effects, were obtained.

Results

Eighteen patients were included (12 CH, 8 episodic and 4 chronic CH, 10 male, 2 female; median age 26.5, IQR 23.5-44.5; 4 HC, 1 male, 3 female; median age 45 years, IQR 38.5–52.5; 2 SUNCT-SUNA patients, aged 67 and 42 years, both female). All diagnoses were confirmed using the ICHD-3 criteria. Among the episodic CH patients, seven of eight experienced a >50% reduction in attack frequency, while two of four patients with chronic CH reached this threshold. In the HC group, two patients became headache-free, one remained pain-free 30% of the time, and one showed no change. Both SUNCT-SUNA patients reduced their daily attack frequency from 120 to 30 and from 10 to 2 and reported a lower probability of triggering attacks. All patients had previously failed at least one first-line therapy. No significant adverse effects were observed (Table 1).

Table 1 Der	mographic	and clini	Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics	sristics								
Diagnosis ICHD 3 criteria	Gender	Age (years)	Time of evolution (months)	Past medical history	Previous treatments	Anti CGRP cycles- months	Adherence to treatment	Constipation After GNZ	Improvement trigeminal autonomic symptoms	HITS 6 score reduction	Frequency headache reduction	Global self- perception scale
Hemicrania continua	Female	50	26	None	Indomethacin, Celecoxib, Botulinum Toxin, Melatonin	7	Yes	°N N	Yes	NA	%26	Very Much better
Hemicrania continua	Female	8	120	Migraine, Gastritis	Indomethacin, Celecoxib, Vergaamil, Topitamate, Botulinum Toxin, Pericranial Blocks, Facet Block, Valproate	Q	Yes	0 N	Yes	4	33%	Much better
Hemicrania continua	Male	60	120	Migraine, Esophagitis	Indomethacin, Celecoxib, Verapamil, Topiramate, Botulinum Toxin, Lithium, Pericranial Blocks	5	Yes	No	Yes	NA	93%	Very Much better
Hemicrania continua	Female	40	18	Anxiety, hypertension, SLE	Indomethacin, Celecoxib, Verapamil, Topiramate, Gabapentin, Pericranial Blocks, Lidocaine infusion	Q	Yes	°N N	No	NA	%0	No change
SUNAT -	Female	72	4	Hypertension, Stroke	Indomethacin, Topiramate, Botulinum toxin, Lamofrigine, Percicanial blocks, Carbamazepine, sphenopalatine blockade	5	Yes	0 Z	Yes	16	73%	Very Much better
SUNCT – SUNA	Female	43	12	Discopathy	Pregabalin, Phenytoin, Amitriptyline	1	NA	No	Yes	NA	83%	A little better
Chronic cluster headache	Male	20	48	None	Verapamil, Topiramate, Valproate	9	No	No	Yes	16	73%	Very Much better
Chronic cluster headache	Male	45	m	Smoking	Indomethacin, Celecoxib, Verapami, Topitamate, Botulinum toxin, Lamotrigine, Gabapantin, Lithium, Pericranial blocks, Valproate, Melatonin	12	Yes	° N	Yes	NA	67%	Very Much better
Chronic cluster headache	Male	35	12	Anxiety, Smoking	Indomethacin, Celecoxib, Verapamil, Topiramate, Botulinam Toxin, Lamotrigine, Gabapentin, Lithium, Pericranial Blockades, Lidocaine Infusion, Melatonin	٥	Yes	Yes	0 Z	NA	%0	A little better
Chronic cluster headache	Female	20	48	Depression, Anxiety, hypertension, Smoking, Alcoholism	Topiramate, Lithium	24	Yes	0 Z	Yes	23	%0	Very Much better
Episodic cluster headache	Male	33	48	Smoking	Q	'n	Yes	No	Yes	28	100%	Very Much better



Cander Age (working) (monthis) Time of (monthis) Time of (monthis) <t< th=""><th>GenderAge possibleTime of possibleFart medical bisio vFart medical possibleFart medical possibleFart medical possibleAnti CeRP possibleAnti CeRP treatmentAnti CeRP treatmentAnt</th><th>Continued Table 1 Demographic and clinical</th><th>Demograp</th><th>nic and clini</th><th>cal characteristics</th><th>tics</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	GenderAge possibleTime of possibleFart medical bisio vFart medical possibleFart medical possibleFart medical possibleAnti CeRP possibleAnti CeRP treatmentAnti CeRP treatmentAnt	Continued Table 1 Demographic and clinical	Demograp	nic and clini	cal characteristics	tics							
21 36 Arxiety Indomethacin, Verapanil, Pericanal Block0s 3 Yes No Yes 24 23 48 Arxiety Verapanil, Lidocaine 3 Yes No Yes 20 31 6 Alcoholism Valproate 4 Yes No Yes 20 43 12 Markely, Bigrafie Topianate 3 Yes No Yes 20 55 48 Arxiety, Smoking Verapanil, Topianate, Zontrufdanate, Anterio, Verapanil, 4 Yes No Yes 20	2136AxtetyInformation UnderstandDepredication Understand3YesNoYes2475%2348AnxetyVaraparril, Lidocatine3YesNoYes2087%316AtcoholismValpoate4YesNoYes2087%4312ManétyValpoate3YesNoYes2081%4312ManétyTopianate3YesNoYes20100%5060AnxetyMetatorin4YesNoYes20100%5348AnxetyMetatorin4YesNoYes20100%5450ManétyMetatorin6YesNoYes20100%5548AnxetyMetatorin6YesNoYes20100%56ManétyMetatorin8NoYesNoYes2020%56ManétyMetatorin8NoYesYes2020%56ManétyMetatorin8NoYes2020%56MetatorinMetatorin8NoYes2020%56MetatorinMetatorin8NoYes20%20%56MetatorinMetatorin8NoYes20%20%56MetatorinMetatorinNoYesYes <th>Gender</th> <th>Age (years)</th> <th>Time of evolution (months)</th> <th>Past medical history</th> <th>Previous treatments</th> <th>Anti CGRP cycles- months</th> <th>Adherence to treatment</th> <th>Constipation After GNZ</th> <th>Improvement trigeminal autonomic symptoms</th> <th>HITS 6 score reduction</th> <th>Frequency headache reduction</th> <th>Global self- perception scale</th>	Gender	Age (years)	Time of evolution (months)	Past medical history	Previous treatments	Anti CGRP cycles- months	Adherence to treatment	Constipation After GNZ	Improvement trigeminal autonomic symptoms	HITS 6 score reduction	Frequency headache reduction	Global self- perception scale
Male2348AnxietyVerapamil. Lidocaine3YesNoYes20Male316AlcoholismValpoate4YesNoYes30Female4312MigrafileTopiramate3YesNoYes20Male6060AnxietyMelatonin4YesNoYes20Male2548Anxiety SnokingVerapamil.6YesNoYes20Male2548Anxiety SnokingVerapamil.6YesNoYes20	Male2348ArxielyVariantil Idocative3YesNoYes2087%Male316AlcotolismValpotist4YesNoYes3081%Female4312MardivValpotist4YesNoYes3081%Male6060ArxietyArxietyMath3YesNoYes20100%Male2348ArxietyMeltonin4YesNoYes20100%Male3060ArxietyValetyValetyValetyYesNoYes20100%Male3060ArxietyValetyValetyValetyValetyNoYesNoYes2020%Male3060ArxietyNotiveValetyNoValetyNoYesYes2020%Male3060NoNoNoYesNoYesYes20%20%Male3060NoNoNoYesNoYes20%20%Male3060NoNoNoYesNoYes20%20%Male3060NoNoNoYesNoYes20%20%Male3010NoNoNoNoYes20%20%Male3010NoNoNo		21	36	Anxiety	Indomethacin, Verapamil, Perioranial Block0s	ю	Yes	° N	Yes	24	75%	Very Much better
Male 31 6 Alcoholism Valproate 4 Yes No Yes 30 Female 43 12 Anviety Topiramate 3 Yes No Yes 20 Male 60 60 Anxiety Melatonin 4 4 Yes No Yes 20 Male 25 48 Anxiety, Smoking Verapanil, Topiramate, 6 6 Yes No Yes 20	Male316AlcoholismValpoate4YesNoYes3081%Female4312MariellyTopianate3YesNoYes20100%Male6060AnxietyMelatonin4YesNoYes20100%Male2548AnxietyWelatonin4YesNoYes20100%Male2548AnxietyWelatonin6YesNoYes20100%Male3060AnxietyUnderstain6YesNoYes2020%Male3060AnxietyUnderstain8NoNoYes2820%Male3060AnxietyUnderstain8NoYes282820%		53	48	Anxiety	Verapamil, Lidocaine infusion	б	Yes	° Z	Yes	20	87%	Very Much better
Female 43 12 Anxiety. Topiramate 3 Yes No Yes 20 Male 60 60 Anxiety. Melatonin 4 Yes No Yes 20 Male 25 48 Anxiety. Smoking Verapamil. Topiramate, Zolimitriptan 6 Yes No Yes 28	Female4312MidfinateTopitamete3YesNoYes20100%Male6060AnxietyMelatonin4YesNoYes20100%Male2548Anxiety, SmokingVeragamil, Topitamate, Zolimitripian5YesNoYes20100%Male3060Anxiety, SmokingVeragamil, Topitamate, Peterstand, Diock, Amitriptyline8NoYes282073%		31	Q	Alcoholism	Valproate	4	Yes	° Z	Yes	30	81%	Very Much better
Male 60 60 Anxiety Melatonin 4 Yes No Yes 20 Male 25 48 Anxiety, Smoking ^{Verapamil} , Topiramate, 6 Yes No Yes 28 ADEOPRESIGN, Indomethacin, Verapamil,	Male6060Anxiety, SmokingMelatonin4YesNoYes20100%Male2548Anxiety, SmokingVerapamil, Topiramate, Zolmitriptan6YesNoYes2850%Male3060Anxiety, SmokingIndometracin, Verapamil, Topiramate, Percinalid8NoYes1873%Male3060Anxiety, Smoking Topiramate, Percinalid8NoNoYes1873%		43	5	Anxiety, Migraine	Topiramate	n	Yes	oZ	Yes	20	100%	Very Much better
Male 25 48 Anxiety, Smoking ^{Verg} aamil, Topiramate, 6 Yes No Yes 28 Zolmitripian Depression, Indomethacin, Vergaamil,	Male 25 48 Anxiety Smoking Verapamil, Topiramate, 6 Yes No Yes 28 50% Male 30 60 Depression, Indomethacin, Verapamil, 8 No Yes 13 73% Typertextanticulu, blocks, Amitriptime		60	60	Anxiety	Melatonin	4	Yes	° N	Yes	20	100%	Very Much better
Acceptession, Indomethacin, Verapamil,	30 60 Anversion, Indomethacin Verapamil, 8 No No Yes 18 73% Hyperfension, Topiramate, Pericranial blocks, Amitriptyline	Male	25	48	Anxiety, Smoking	Verapamil, Topiramate, Zolmitriptan	Q	Yes	No	Yes	28	50%	Very Much better
30 60 Anixet's Topiramate, Pericranial 8 No No Yes 18 Hypertension, blocks, Amitriptyline 8 No No Yes 18 Smoking		Male	30	60	Depression, Anxiety, Anxiety, Hypertension, Smoking	Indomethacin, Verapamil, Topiramate, Pericranial blocks, Amitriptyline	ø	No	No	Yes	18	73%	Very Much better



Discussion

In this report, GNZ at the standard migraine dose demonstrated efficacy in treating patients with TACs, as shown by a reduction in headache episodes, improvements in self-perception, and lower HIT-6 scores with no significant side effects, including constipation. For episodic CH, seven out of eight patients (87.5%) achieved a reduction of 50% or more in attack frequency, a result comparable to findings from an observational study where 86% of episodic CH patients treated with 240 mg GNZ monthly showed similar reductions (7). Among chronic CH patients, 50% or more improvement was observed in two of the four cases, although all reported positive changes in self-perception, likely due to improved HIT-6 scores and reduced attack intensity, which is in contrast with a previous report where 83% of chronic CH patients achieved a 50% reduction at a GNZ dose of 240 mg monthly (8). These findings suggest a possible dose-dependent effect of CH subtypes, with higher doses potentially being more effective in chronic cases. The results in the HC and SUNCT groups align with other reports in which anti-Calcitonin Gene-Related Peptide Receptor (CGRP) therapies were effective even after the failure of first-line treatment (5,6). The efficacy of lower-dose GNZ in Latin American populations, as demonstrated here, is consistent with observations in migraine prevention using amitriptyline, topiramate, and propranolol, which are often prescribed and effective at lower doses than Anglo-Saxon populations (9). This clinical response could be explained by genetic variations in drug metabolism, lower body weight, environmental and lifestyle factors, heightened patient expectations, placebo effects, and differences in CGRP pathway sensitivity (10).

Exploring lower doses in a real-world clinical setting for a specific population is the key strength of this study. However, the use of retrospective data and variable follow-up periods have limitations.

Conclusions

These results suggest that lower doses of galcanezumab may be a viable option for treating Latin American patients with TACs, because it's use reduces frequency, severity and its negative impact in patients functionality, measured by the HIT-6 score variation, and enhances global selfperception.

Joe Munoz-Cerón https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3318-9351 Ildefonso Rodríguez https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3316-1471 Carolina Guerra

References

- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia 2018;38:1–211. Doi:10.1177/0333102417738202.
- San-Juan D, Velez-Jimenez K, Hoffmann J, Martínez-Mayorga AP, Melo-Carrillo A, Rodríguez-Leyva I, et al. Cluster headache: an update on clinical features, epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Frontiers in Pain Research 2024;5. Doi:10.3389/fpain.2024.1373528.
- Diener HC, Tassorelli C, Dodick DW. Management of Trigeminal Autonomic Cephalalgias Including Chronic Cluster. JAMA Neurol 2023;80:308. Doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.4804.
- Goadsby PJ, Dodick DW, Leone M, Bardos JN, Oakes TM, Millen BA, et al. Trial of Galcanezumab in Prevention of Episodic Cluster Headache. New England Journal of Medicine 2019;381:132–41. Doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1813440.
- González-Quintanilla V, Pérez-Pereda S, Fontanillas N, Pascual J. First case of hemicrania continua responsive to galcanezumab. Neurological Sciences 2021;42:4775– 6. Doi:10.1007/s10072-021-05476-9.
- Moond V, Hamilton K, Martinez R, Carrizo C, Burish M. Refractory Short-Lasting Unilateral Neuralgiform Headache Attacks With Conjunctival Injection and Tearing (SUNCT) Responding to Erenumab Adjuvant Therapy: A Case Report. Cureus 2022. Doi:10.7759/cureus.24403.
- Hong Y, Kang M-K, Moon H-S, Kim B-K, Cho S-J. Preventive therapy with galcanezumab for two consecutive cluster bouts in patients with episodic cluster headache: an observational multicenter study. J Headache Pain 2023;24:136. Doi:10.1186/s10194-023-01661-7.
- Membrilla JA, Torres-Ferrus M, Alpuente A, Caronna E, Pozo-Rosich P. Efficacy and safety of galcanezumab as a treatment of refractory episodic and chronic cluster headache: Case series and narrative review. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 2022;62:1395–405. Doi:10.1111/head.14404.
- Muñoz-Cerón JF, Rueda-Sánchez M, Pradilla-Vesga OE, Volcy M, Hernández N, Ramírez SF, et al. Guideline on the preventive treatment of chronic migraine, chronic tension type headache, hemicrania continua and new daily persistent headache on behalf of the Colombian Association of Neurology. Acta Neurológica Colombiana 2020;36:150–67. Doi:10.22379/24224022300.
- Burroughs VJ, Maxey RW, Levy RA. Racial and ethnic differences in response to medicines: towards individualized pharmaceutical treatment. J Natl Med Assoc 2002;94:1–26.

Karina Vélez https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9247-186X Natalia Hernández Paula Nieto-Rodríguez Daniela Gómez Barrera





Study approval statement: This study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Fundación Universitaria Sanitas (N° 020.23FUS).

Consent to participate statement: Written informed consent was obtained from participants.

Author Contributions: JM: project design, data acquisition, analysis, investigation, validation, report drafting, review, and report edition; IR, CG, KV, NH: data acquisition and analysis; investigation: PN survey design, data curation, and draft edition.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding: The funder had no role in the design, data collection, data analysis, or reporting of this study.